![CMC Commercial Metals logo]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATION

Wire Rod

According to ISO 21930 and ISO 14025

![ASTM International — Standards Worldwide logo]

Declaration Information

Declaration

Program Operator: ASTM International

Company: Commercial Metals Company
6565 North MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800
Irving, TX 75039
214.689.4300 | cmcmarketing@cmc.com | cmc.com

astm.org

Product Information

Product Name: Wire Rod

Product Definition: Steel rod from which wire is formed by drawing

Declaration Type: Business to business

PCR Reference:
- ISO 21930 (ISO, 2017)
- Part A: Product Category Rules for Building Related Products and Services (UL Environment, 2018)
- Part B: Designated Steel Construction Product EPD Requirements (UL Environment, 2020)

Validity / Applicability

Period of Validity: This declaration is valid for a period of 5 years from the date of publication

Geographic Scope: United States

PCR Review was conducted by:
- Thomas P. Gloria, Ph.D., Industrial Ecology Consultants
- Brandie Sebastian, JBE Consultants
- James Littlefield, Independent Consultant

Product Application and / or Characteristics

Carbon steel round produced in coil form that is typically drawn into wire for use in a wide variety of construction and industrial applications, including tie wire, mesh, fencing, shelving, shopping carts, wire hangers and nails.

CSI MasterFormat Code 05 00 00: Metals. UNSPSC Commodity Code 302644 - Carbon steel ingots, strips, billets and coil.

Technical Drawing or Product Visual

Content of the Declaration

- Product definition and physical building-related data
- Details of raw materials and material origin
- Description of how the product is manufactured
- Data on usage condition, other effects and end-of-life phase
- Life Cycle Assessment results

Verification

Independent verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 21930:2017 and ISO 14025:2006:

☐ internal   ☒ external

This declaration and the rules on which this EPD is based have been examined by an independent verifier in accordance with ISO 14025.

Lindita Bushi, Ph.D.
Athena Sustainable Materials Institute
lindita.bushi@athenasmi.org

Timothy Brooke
ASTM International
tbrooke@astm.org | www.astm.org/EPDs.htm

Limitations

The environmental impact results of steel products in this document are based on a declared unit and therefore do not provide sufficient information to establish comparisons. The results shall not be used for comparisons without knowledge of how the physical properties of the steel product impact the precise function at the construction level. The environmental impact results shall be converted to a functional unit basis before any comparison is attempted. See Section 3.10 For additional EPD comparability guidelines. Environmental declarations from different programs (ISO 14025) may not be comparable.

EPD Summary

This document is a Type III environmental product declaration by Commercial Metals Company that is certified by ASTM International (ASTM) as conforming to the requirements of ISO 21930 and ISO 14025. ASTM has assessed that the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) information fulfills the requirements of ISO 14040 in accordance with the instructions listed in the referenced product category rules (PCR). The intent of this document is to further the development of environmentally compatible and sustainable construction methods by providing comprehensive environmental information related to potential impacts in accordance with international standards.

No comparisons or benchmarking is included in this EPD. Environmental declarations from different programs based upon differing PCRs may not be comparable (ISO 14025). Comparison of the environmental performance of construction works and construction products using EPD information shall be based on the product's use and impacts at the construction works level. In general, EPDs may not be used for comparability purposes when not considered in a construction works context. Given this PCR ensures products meet the same functional requirements, comparability is permissible provided the information given for such comparison is transparent and the limitations of comparability explained. When comparing EPDs created using this PCR, variations and deviations are possible. Example of variations: Different LCA software and background Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) datasets may lead to different results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared.

Table 1: Impact assessment results for 1 metric ton of wire rod

Indicator	Unit	Total (A1-A3)	A1	A2	A3
GWP 100	kg CO_2 eq	743	90.6	22.9	629
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	8.62E-09	2.57E-13	3.05E-15	8.62E-09
AP	kg SO_2 eq	1.27	0.605	0.132	0.535
EP	kg N eq	0.0592	0.0127	0.0121	0.0344
SFP	kg O_3 eq	21.1	6.10	4.06	10.8
ADP$_{fossil}$	MJ	796	27.0	44.9	724

Table 2: Impact assessment results for 1 short ton of wire rod

Indicator	Unit	Total (A1-A3)	A1	A2	A3
GWP 100	kg CO_2 eq	674	82.2	20.8	571
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	7.82E-09	2.33E-13	2.77E-15	7.82E-09
AP	kg SO_2 eq	1.15	0.549	0.120	0.485
EP	kg N eq	0.0537	0.0115	0.011	0.0312
SFP	kg O_3 eq	19.0	5.53	3.68	9.80
ADP$_{fossil}$	MJ	722	24.5	40.7	657

Scope and Boundaries of the Life Cyce Assessment

The Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) was performed according to ISO 14040 (ISO, 2006) and ISO 14044 (ISO, 2006) following the requirements of the ASTM EPD Program Instructions and referenced PCR.

System Boundary: Cradle-to-gate
Allocation Method: Partitioning
Declared Unit: 1 metric ton / 1 short ton

Description of Organization

As one of the leading Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) steel manufacturers in the world, Commercial Metals Company (CMC) is an industry leader in sustainable steelmaking and is committed to producing steel from 100% recycled scrap metal. We began as a metals recycling company in 1915, and today we remain committed to minimizing our impact on the environment and to 'green' steelmaking - collecting recycled steel at our local recycling centers, melting scrap metal into new finished products at our steel mini-mills and micro-mills, and processing steel at our fabrication centers, heat-treating facilities and other metals-related operations.

Product Description

Wire rod is a hot-rolled round produced in coil form that is typically drawn into wire for use in a wide variety of construction and industrial applications. Wire rod has a number of end uses and applications, including tie wire, mesh, fencing, shelving, shopping carts, wire hangers and nails. In addition, wire drawn from rod can be galvanized, plated and painted. This document refers only to uncoated wire rod that has not yet undergone fabrication. The reference service life of each product is not specified, as only modules A1-A3 are included.

Table 3: Technical Characteristics

Name	Value	Unit
Density	7,833	kg/m^3
Modulus of elasticity	199,900	N/mm^2
Coefficient of thermal expansion	11.8	$10^{-6}K^{-1}$
Thermal conductivity	80.4	W/(mK)
Melting point	1,504	°C
Electrical conductivity at 20°C	10,000,000	$\Omega^{-1}m^{-1}$
Minimum yield strength	By grade	N/mm^2
Minimum tensile strength	By grade	N/mm^2
Minimum elongation	By grade	%
Tensile strength	By grade	N/mm^2
ASTM Specification	ASTM A510/A510M - 18	-

Figure 1: Production Flow Diagram

Emmisions to air, land, water

Cradle to Gate

Recovery or extraction of feedstock materials → Transport to manufacturing → Furnace and melt shop operations → Rolling mill → Steel product to fabrication

A1: Raw Material Supply   A2: Transport   A3: Manufacturing

Resource inputs (energy, water, materials, etc.)

Product Application

Carbon steel wire rod is typically drawn into wire for use in a variety of construction and industrial applications, including tie wire, mesh, fencing, shelving, shopping carts, wire hangers and nails.

Material Composition

The exact chemical composition of CMC's steel is declared on a mill test report, which is provided with each shipment and for each heat. In general, the steel will be >97% recycled iron and a total of 2% or less of the following elements: Carbon, Manganese, Silicon, Chromium, Nickel, Molybdenum, Vanadium, Copper, Tin, Sulfur and Phosphorus. The combined total of Molybdenum, Sulfur and Phosphorus is generally less than 0.1%. Elements exist in steel in their natural, unoxidized states, so any concerns over elements that are toxic only in certain valence states are mitigated.

All CMC wire rod is manufactured from 100% recycled scrap steel sourced within the United States.

Properties of Declared Product as Delivered

Wire rod produced by Commercial Metals Company in Jacksonville, FL is defined by the following ASTM standards:
- ASTM A510/A510M-18   Standard Specification tor General Requirements tor Wire Rods and Coarse Round Wire, Carbon Steel and Alloy Steel

Methodological Framework

Declared Unit

As can be seen in Table 4, both a declared unit of 1 metric ton and the optional unit of 1 short ton are used.

Table 4: Declared Unit

Name	Quantity	Required Unit	Quantity	Optional Unit
Declared Unit	1	metric ton	1	short ton
Density	7,833	kg/m^3	489	lb/ft^3

System Boundaries

The LCA was conducted for the product stage, A1-A3. Construction, use and end-of-life are excluded from the scope of the LCA.

Table 5: System Boundaries

Product Stage			Construction Stage		Use Stage					End-of-Life Stage				Benefits and loads beyond system boundary
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Raw materials supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport	Installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	De-construction	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse, recovery and recycling potential
X	X	X	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND

MND = module not declared

Allocation

Steel scrap: Steel scrap from the melt shop, rolling and other operations is internally recycled by steel mills. While whether a product is associated with a net consumption or generation of internal scrap is calculated by the model, this particular flow is not reported as part of the final LCIs as, from a mill-level perspective, all internal scrap is fed back into the melt shop.

Multi-product output: Where multiple finished products are produced, allocation sometimes had to be applied. While the melt shop knows exact formulations and energy requirements for each billet produced, the data for the rolling mill had to be allocated by total production time. In cases where melt shop and rolling mill water, waste and emissions could not be separated, impacts were allocated by product mass.

Co-products: Co-products during steel mill operations are allocated using a method used developed by the World Steel Association and EUROFER (worldsteel and EUROFER, 2014) to be in line with CEN EN 15804 (CEN, 2019). The methodology takes into the account of the manner in which changes in inputs and outputs affect the production of co-products. The method also takes account of material flows that carry specific inherent properties.

This approach is consistent with the PCR and ISO 21930. ISO 21930 takes precedence over EN15804, per the PCR Part A and Part B (UL Environment, 2020; UL Environment, 2018).

Table 6: Co-product allocation

Flow	% to Steel	% to Slag
Steel inputs	100.0	0.0
Slag	0.0	100
Steel scrap outputs	86.4	13.6
All other inputs/outputs	86.4	13.6

Cut-off Criteria

The cut-off rules, as specified in the PCR, did not have to be applied as none of the reported data was excluded.

Data Sources

All primary data were collected by CMC for annual production during the 2019 calendar year. All secondary data were obtained from the 2020 GaBi database (service pack 40). Where appropriate LCI data was not available proxy datasets were used, as documented in the background report.

Data Quality

Representativeness:

Temporal: All primary data were collected for the year 2019. All secondary data come from the GaBi 2020 databases and are representative of the years 2013-2020. As the study intended to represent the product systems for the reference year 2019, temporal representativeness is considered to be high.

Geographical: All primary and secondary data were collected specific to the regions under study. Where country- or region-specific data were unavailable, proxy data were used. Geographical representativeness is considered to be high.

Technological: All primary and secondary data were modeled to be specific to the technologies under study. Where technology-specific data were unavailable, proxy data were used. Technological representativeness is considered to be high.

Consistency: To ensure data consistence, all primary data were collected with the same level of detail, while all background data were sourced from the GaBi databases.

Reproducibility: Reproducibility is supported as much as possible through the disclosure of input-output data, dataset choices, and modeling approaches in this report. Based on this information, any third party should be able to approximate the results of this study using the same data and modeling approaches.

Uncertainty: Given the consistency within the data and the representativeness of the data, uncertainty associated with the model and results is low.

Data quality meets the requirements set forth in the PCR.

Estimates and Assumptions

One of the drivers of impacts associated with alloying elements is silicomanganese, for which no LCI data is available. Ferromanganese was used as a proxy, which is typically a precursor to silicomanganese production. As such, the impacts of silicomanganese use are likely underestimated. While the majority of CMC's rolling mills use steel billets produced at CMC's facilities, a small percentage of billets are purchased from other steel producers. Engineering steel is used as a proxy for purchased steel billets, which likely overestimates the impacts of purchased billets.

Where insufficient data were available for inbound transportation of steel scrap, alloy elements and process materials, this study assumed an inbound transportation distance of 500 miles by truck.

Manufacturing

Scrap steel is melted in an electric arc furnace (EAF) which uses a combination of electrical energy and chemical energy in the form of carbon and oxygen injected into the steel. When the scrap has melted and reached approximately 3,000°F, the molten steel is poured (tapped) into a vessel called a ladle. During tapping, the majority of the alloys and fluxes are added to the steel to serve as deoxidizers and strengthening agents. The ladle is transported to the ladle metallurgical station (LMS), where the steel chemistry is refined to meet the chemical specifications. The ladle is then transported to a continuous caster where the steel is solidified into a solid, basic shape called a billet.

In the minimill process, billets then pass through a reheat furnace and travel into the rolling mill for processing. In the rolling mill, billets are passed through several mill stands which reduce the billet size and shape down to meet the final bar product specifications and emerge onto a cooling bed. The mill markings for source mill, material grade and specification are rolled into the wire rod on the final finishing stand.

The minimill technology is the cleanest and most energy efficient steelmaking process available today. By using recycled scrap as raw material rather than virgin natural resources, CMC is reducing the need for mining of natural resources and reducing CO_2 emissions by 58%. For every ton of steel produced, CMC conserves 2,500 pounds of iron ore, 1,400 pounds of coal, and 120 pounds of limestone.

After cooling, finished steel products are placed in storage bays before being transported to their final destination. Wire rod is packaged in coils, which average 1.5 tons. The coils are secured with steel banding that is wrapped around the coil. The length, weight, size, heat and grade information are declared on a tag that is stud-welded or wire-tied to the coil.

Geographic Relevance

CMC's wire rod is manufactured in Jacksonville, FL.

Temporal Relevance

Data was collected for the 2019 calendar year.

Technological Relevance

Wire rod is manufactured using an electric arc furnace (EAF).

Life Cycle Assesment Results

Selection of LCIA Methodology and Impact Categories

The impact assessment categories and other metrics required by the PCR are shown in Table 8. GWP excludes biogenic carbon as the scope of the study is cradle-to-gate and there are no relevant bio-based raw materials present.

Table 8: Required declaration of environmental impacts, use of resources, and generation of waste

Indicator	Unit
Life Cycle Impact Assessment Results	
Global warming potential, excluding biogenic carbon (GWP 100)	kg CO_2 eq
Ozone depletion potential (ODP)	kg CFC-11 eq
Acidification potential (AP)	kg SO_2 eq
Eutrophication potential (EP)	kg N eq
Smog formation potential (SFP)	kg O_3 eq
Abiotic resource depletion potential of non-renewable (fossil) energy resources (ADP$_{fossil}$)	MJ
Resource Use	
Renewable primary resources used as energy carrier (fuel) (RPR$_E$)	MJ LHV
Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material (RPR$_M$)	MJ LHV
Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel) (NRPR$_E$)	MJ LHV
Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material (NRPR$_M$)	MJ LHV
Secondary materials (SM)	kg
Renewable secondary fuels (RSF)	MJ LHV
Non-renewable secondary fuels (NRSF)	MJ LHV
Recovered energy (RE)	MJ LHV
Use of net fresh water resources (FW)	m^3
Output Flows and Waste Categories	
Hazardous waste disposed (HWD)	kg
Non-hazardous waste disposed (NHWD)	kg
High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository (HLRW)	kg
Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository (ILLRW)	kg
Components for re-use (CRU)	kg
Materials for recycling (MR)	kg
Materials for energy recovery (MER)	kg
Recovered energy exported from the product system (EE)	MJ LHV

It shall be noted that the above impact categories represent impact potentials, i.e., they are approximations of environmental impacts that could occur if the emissions would (a) actually follow the underlying impact pathway and (b) meet certain conditions in the receiving environment while doing so. In addition, the inventory only captures that fraction of the total environmental load that corresponds to the functional unit (relative approach). LCIA results are therefore relative expressions only and do not predict actual impacts, the exceed-ing of thresholds, safety margins or risks.

Comparability: Comparisons cannot be made between product-specific or industry average EPDs at the design stage of a project, before a building has been specified. Comparisons may be made between product-specific or industry average EPDs at the time of product purchase when product performance and specifications have been established and serve as a functional unit for comparison. Environmental impact results shall be converted to a functional unit basis before any comparison is attempted.

Any comparison of EPDs shall be subject to the requirements of ISO 21930. EPDs are not comparative assertions and are either not comparable or have limited comparability when they have different system boundaries, are based on different product category rules or are missing relevant environmental impacts. Such comparisons can be inaccurate and could lead to erroneous selection of materials or products which are higher-impact, at least in some impact categories.

Table 9: Resource use for 1 metric ton of wire rod

Indicator	Unit	Total (A1-A3)	A1	A2	A3
RPR_E	MJ LHV	242	60.1	14.2	168
RPR_M	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-
$NRPR_E$	MJ LHV	7,650	913	338	6,400
$NRPR_M$	MJ LHV	414	-	-	414
SM	kg	1,130	1,130	-	0.138
RSF	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-
NRSF	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-
RE	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-
FW	m³	2.55	0.828	0.0634	1.66

Table 10: Resource use for 1 short ton of wire rod

Indicator	Unit	Total (A1-A3)	A1	A2	A3
RPR_E	MJ LHV	219	54.5	12.9	152
RPR_M	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-
$NRPR_E$	MJ LHV	6,940	828	307	5,800
$NRPR_M$	MJ LHV	375	-	-	375
SM	kg	1,020	1,020	-	0.125
RSF	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-
NRSF	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-
RE	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-
FW	m³	2.31	0.751	0.0575	1.51

Table 11: Wastes and outputs for 1 metric ton of wire rod

Indicator	Unit	Total (A1-A3)	A1	A2	A3
HWD	kg	0.303	-	-	0.303
NHWD	kg	1.87	-	-	1.87
HLRW	kg	0.000331	0.0000182	9.17E-07	0.000312
ILLRW	kg	0.278	0.0160	0.000760	0.261
CRU	kg	-	-	-	-
MR	kg	74.8	-	-	74.8
MER	kg	-	-	-	-
EE	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-

Table 12: Wastes and outputs for 1 short ton of wire rod

Indicator	Unit	Total (A1-A3)	A1	A2	A3
HWD	kg	0.275	-	-	0.275
NHWD	kg	1.70	-	-	1.70
HLRW	kg	0.0003	0.0000165	8.32E-07	0.000283
ILLRW	kg	0.252	0.0145	0.000689	0.237
CRU	kg	-	-	-	-
MR	kg	67.8	-	-	67.8
MER	kg	-	-	-	-
EE	MJ LHV	-	-	-	-

Table 13: Impact assessment results for 1 metric ton of wire rod

| Indicator	Unit	Total (A1-A3)	A1	A2	A3
GWP 100	kg CO_2 eq	743	90.6	22.9	629
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	8.62E-09	2.57E-13	3.05E-15	8.62E-09
AP	kg SO_2 eq	1.27	0.605	0.132	0.535
EP	kg N eq	0.0592	0.0127	0.0121	0.0344
SFP	kg O_3 eq	21.1	6.10	4.06	10.8
ADP$_{fossil}$	MJ	796	27.0	44.9	724

Table 14: Impact assessment results for 1 short ton of wire rod

Indicator	Unit	Total (A1-A3)	A1	A2	A3
GWP 100	kg CO_2 eq	674	82.2	20.8	571
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	7.82E-09	2.33E-13	2.77E-15	7.82E-09
AP	kg SO_2 eq	1.15	0.549	0.120	0.485
EP	kg N eq	0.0537	0.0115	0.011	0.0312
SFP	kg O_3 eq	19.0	5.53	3.68	9.80
ADP$_{fossil}$	MJ	722	24.5	40.7	657

Interpretation

Environmental impacts for wire rod production are driven by electricity use, direct emissions from the EAF, and alloying elements. Direct emissions and energy use are the largest contributors to GWP100, while energy use is the dominant contributor to ADP_{fossil}. ODP is driven by use of cardboard samplers, due to the background dataset used.

Figure 2: Relative results by category, wire rod

Additional Environmental Information

The products do not contain any hazardous substances according to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Subtitle 3. The products do not release dangerous substances to the environment, including indoor air emissions, gamma or ionizing radiation, or chemicals released to air or leached to water and soil.

References

ACLCA. (2019). *ACLCA Guidance to Calculating Non-LCIA Inventory Metrics in Accordance with ISO 21930:2017.*

ASTM. (2016). *General Program Instructions, Version: 7.0. ASTM Program Operator for Product Category Rules (PCR) and Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs).*

Bare, J. (2012). *Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and other Environmental Impacts (TRACI) – Software Name and Version Number: TRACI version 2.1 - User's Manual. Washington, D.C.: U.S. EPA.*

CEN. (2019). *EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Core rules for the product category of construction products.*

EPA. (2012). *Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and other Environmental Impacts (TRACI) – User's Manual. Washington, D.C.: U.S. EPA.*

IPCC. (2013). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Genf, Schweiz: IPCC.*

ISO. (2006). *ISO 14025: Environmental labels and declarations – Type III environmental declarations – Principles and Procedures. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.*

ISO. (2006). *ISO 14040: Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.*

ISO. (2006). *ISO 14044: Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Requirements and guidelines. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.*

ISO. (2017). *ISO 21930 Sustainability in building construction – Environmental declaration of building products.*

Sphera. (2020). *GaBi LCA Database Documentation. Retrieved from Sphera Solutions, Inc.: http://www.gabi-software.com/america/support/gabi/*

UL Environment. (2018). *Part A: Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules and Report Requirements, Version 3.2.*

UL Environment. (2020). *Part B: Designated Steel Construction Product EPD Requirements, v2.0.*

worldsteel and EUROFER. (2014). *A methodology to determine the LCI of steel industry co-products.*

worldsteel association. (2017). *Life Cycle Inventory Methodology Report. Brussels.*